



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.          | , FILING DATE  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO.      |  |
|--------------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--|
| 09/582,757               | 09/05/2000     | Armand Nachef        | T2147-906524        | 2768                  |  |
| 7                        | 590 09/12/2005 |                      | EXAM                | EXAMINER              |  |
| MILES & STOCKBRIDGE P.C. |                |                      | HOANG, PI           | HOANG, PHUONG N       |  |
| SUITE 500                |                | •                    | ART UNIT            | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER |  |
| McLEAN, VA 22102         |                |                      | 2194                |                       |  |

DATE MAILED: 09/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| <b>n</b>   |   |  |   |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| <i>T</i> *   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |   |  |  |  |
| Advisory Action  | 09/582,757  | NACHEF ET AL.  |   |  |  |  |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |   |  |  |  |
|  | Phuong Hoang  | 2194   |   |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app   | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence add  | ress  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>04 August 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A  | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR   | ALLOWANCE.   |   |  |  |  |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>a) \( \sumsymbox{\text{The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection.} \)</li> <li>b) \( \text{The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> </ul>  |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).   |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)  | xtension and the corresponding amount<br>shortened statutory period for reply orig<br>or than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropr<br>inally set in the final Offi    | iate extension fee<br>ice action; or (2) as |  |  |  |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS   |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 3. A The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  | but prior to the date of filing a brief   | , will <u>not</u> be entered b                             | ecause                                      |  |  |  |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);   |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);   |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for  |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.   |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).   |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a<br/>non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>  | allowable if submitted in a separate,   | timely filed amendme                                       | ent canceling the                           |  |  |  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile that status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: 15,16,23,24,28 and 29.  |   | ll be entered and an e                                     | explanation of                              |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>11-14,17-22,25-27 and 30-33</u> .  |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .<br>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |   | •  |   |  |  |  |
| B.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).   | ut before or on the date of filing a N<br>nd sufficient reasons why the affida  | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u><br>vit or other evidence is | ot be entered<br>s necessary and            |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa  | overcome all rejections under appe  | al and/or appellant fa                                     | ils to provide a                            |  |  |  |
| 10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation   | on of the status of the claims after e  | ntry is below or attacl                                    | ned.  |  |  |  |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  1. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:   |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| ine request for reconsideration has been considered b  | ut does NOT place the application I   | ii whalaon for allowal                                     | nce Decause.                                |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>12.  Note the attached information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13.  Other:</li></ul>  | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N   | lo(s)  |   |  |  |  |
|  | SUPERVIS  | **************************************                     |   |  |  |  |
|  | TECHN   | ores/  |   |  |  |  |

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The applicant's amendment to the claims includes new limitations (i.e. claim 11, lines 7 - 8; claim 31, lines 6 - 7). These limitations were not recited in claims 11 and 31 or its dependent claims and would require further consideration and search.